♠ AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compli	ance with 35 § 290 and/or	15 U.S.C. § 111	6 you are hereby advi		has been
filed in the U.S. Di	strict Court Northern D	istrict of Califor	rnia on the follow	ing X Patents or	☐ Trademarks:
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED		STRICT COURT		
CV 11-03955 DMR	8/11/2011		akland Division, 130	1 Clay Street, Suite 40	0S, Oakland, CA 94612
PLAINTIFF TESSENDERLO KER	DI EV INC		DEFENDANT D & M CHEM	LINC	
TESSENDERLO KEN		D & WI CHEW	TINC		
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT		HOLDER	OF PATENT OR TRA	ADEMARK
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK				
*See attached complaint					
2 6,110,867					
2 6,110,867 3 6,464,995					
4					
5					
T (11	e-entitled case, the follow	uima matant(a) ha	ua baan inaludad:		
		ving patent(s) no			
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	Amendment	☐ Answer	Cross Bill [☐ Other Pleading
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT			-	
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK	OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1			<u> </u>		
2					
3					
4			· <u>·</u>		
5		<u> </u>			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
In the abov	e—entitled case, the follow	ving decision ha	s been rendered or jud	dgement issued:	
DECISION/JUDGEMENT					
OI EDV		(DV) DEDITE	CLEDY		DATE
}		I(BY) DEPUTY	Y) DÉPÜTY CLERK		August 16, 2011
Richard W. Wieking		Valerie Kyono			

1 2 3 4	Daniel R. Blakey (State Bar No. 143748) STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90071 Phone: (213) 439-9400 Facsimile: (213) 439-9599 Email: dblakey@steptoe.com	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Seth A. Watkins (pro hac vice pending) Timothy C. Bickham (pro hac vice pending) Houda Morad (pro hac vice pending) STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 429-3000 Facsimile: (202) 429-3902 Email: sethwatkins@steptoe.com tbickham@steptoe.com hmorad@steptoe.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc.	AUG 1 7000
13 14 15		ISTRICT COURT FOR THE FRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	TESSENDERLO KERLEY, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. D & M CHEM, INC., a Washington corporation, Defendant.	Case No. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
25 26 27		

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

BACKGROUND

TKI's Patents

- 12. The '867 patent, entitled "Method for Providing Enhanced Photosynthesis," issued on August 29, 2000 in the name of inventors David Michael Glenn, Dennis G. Sekutowski, and Gary J. Puterka. TKI enjoys all exclusive rights and privileges with respect to the '867 patent in the United States, including the right to enforce the '867 patent in its own name. A copy of the '867 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 13. The '867 patent was the subject of reexamination proceedings, Application Serial No. 90/006,658, before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). A reexamination certificate was issued by the USPTO on March 7, 2006.
- 14. The subject matter of the '867 patent relates to a method for enhancing photosynthesis of a horticultural crop by increasing carbon dioxide assimilation of said horticultural crop which comprises applying to the surface of said horticultural crop an effective amount of one or more highly reflective particulate materials, said particulate materials being finely divided, and wherein the particles as applied allow for the exchange of gases on the surface of said crop and the finely divided particulate materials have a median individual particle size below about 3 microns.
- 15. The '995 patent, entitled "Treated Horticultural Substrates," issued on October 15, 2002 in the name of inventors Dennis G. Sekutowski, and Gary J. Puterka, and David Michael Glenn. TKI enjoys all exclusive rights and privileges with respect to the '995 patent in the United States, including the right to enforce the '995 patent in its own name. A copy of the '995 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 16. The subject matter of the '995 patent relates to a method for enhancing the horticultural effect of horticultural substrates selected from the group consisting of fruits, vegetables, trees, flowers, grasses, roots, and landscape and ornamental plants which comprises applying a slurry comprising water, a surfactant, and one or more particulate materials, selected from the group consisting of calcium carbonate, hydrous kaolin, calcined

kaolin and mixtures thereof, to the surface of said substrate to form a membrane comprised of one or more particulate layers and the surfactant, said layers comprising one or more particulate materials, said particulate materials being finely divided, and wherein said membrane allows for the exchange of gases on the surface of said substrate.

Crop Protectant Products

- 17. TKI manufactures and sells a kaolin-based crop protectant product under the brand name Surround[®], for use as a broad spectrum agricultural crop protectant for controlling damage from various physiological disorders such as sunburn and heat stress as well as a growth enhancer. Exhibit 3 (Surround label). TKI has the right to exclude from the marketplace any competing product for which its application infringes at least claim 1 of the '867 patent and/or claim 23 of the '995 patent.
- 18. The Eclipse[®] crop protectant product has been distributed by defendant D&M Chem. *See* Exhibit 4 (D&M Eclipse brochure).
- 19. The Eclipse product was also distributed by a third-party Novazone, Inc. ("Novazone"), which has since changed its corporate name to Purfresh, Inc. ("Purfresh"). *See* Exhibit 5 (Novazone Eclipse brochure). Purfresh is a Delaware corporation, having a place of business in this judicial district at 47211 Bayside Parkway, Fremont, California 94538. On information and belief, Purfresh obtained the Eclipse product from D&M Chem.

D&M Chem's Infringement of TKI's Patents

- 20. Upon information and belief, application of the Eclipse product infringes at least claim 1 of the '867 patent and claim 23 of the '995 patent.
- 21. D&M Chem's promotional literature for the Eclipse product describes it as: (1) a calcium carbonate and boron liquid suspension having submicron particles; (2) effective in preventing and/or reducing sunburn and heat stress; and (3) increasing color, the integrity of cell structure, and reducing physiological problems of crops. *See* Exhibit 4.
- 22. Novazone's promotional literature for the Eclipse product describes it as: (1) a calcium carbonate and boron colloidal liquid having submicron particles; (2) effective in the control of sunburn and lessening the effects of heat stress; and (3) enabling natural and better

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT Page 5

and/or actively induced the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, and continues to contributorily infringe and/or actively induce the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, of at least claim 23 of the '995 patent by (1) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (2) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (3) causing others to use the Eclipse product.

- 32. Defendant D&M Chem's infringement has been committed with knowledge of the '995 patent and has been intentional, willful and deliberate.
- 33. As a result of defendant D&M Chem's infringement of the '995 patent, TKI has been damaged and will be further damaged, and is entitled to be compensated for such damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 34. As a result of defendant D&M Chem's infringement of the '995 patent, TKI has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, for which TKI has no adequate remedy at law, unless the Court enjoins such infringing activities pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, TKI respectfully requests that this Court enter a Judgment and Order in its favor and against defendant D&M Chem as follows:

- (a) A judgment that defendant D&M Chem has contributed to and/or actively induced the infringement of the '867 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others to use the Eclipse product in the United States;
- (b) A judgment that defendant D&M Chem has contributed to and/or actively induced the infringement of the '995 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others to use the Eclipse product in the United States;
- (c) A judgment and order permanently enjoining defendant D&M Chem from further infringing the '867 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii)

	†I					
1	causing oth	ers to use the Eclipse product in the United States;				
2	(d)	A judgment and order permanently enjoining defendant D&M Chem from				
3	further infri	nging the '995 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for				
4	11	horizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii)				
5	causing other	causing others to use the Eclipse product in the United States;				
6	(e)	A judgment and order requiring defendant D&M Chem to pay all available and				
7	legally perm	sissible damages to compensate TKI for defendant's infringing acts, but in no				
8	1.	event less than a reasonable royalty in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;				
9	(f)	A finding that defendant's conduct has been willful, warranting an award of				
10	treble damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;					
11	(g)	A finding that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, warranting an				
12	award to TK	I of its costs, including attorney fees, and other expenses incurred in connection				
13	with this action;					
-14	(h)	A judgment and order requiring that the defendant pay TKI pre-judgment				
15	interest and j	interest and post-judgment interest on all damages awarded;				
16	(i)	Such further relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.				
17	///					
18	///					
19	///					
20	///					
21	///					
22	///					
23	///					
24	///					
25	///					
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
- []						

1	1 JURY DEMAND	
2	TKI demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.	
3	3	
4	4 STEPTOE & JOHNSON	LLP
5	5	M
6		
7	7 Daniel R. Blakey (Seth A. Watkins ((State Bar No. 143748) pro hac vice pending)
8	Timothy C. Bickh Houda Morad (pre	pro hac vice pending) am (pro hac vice pending) o hac vice pending)
9	9 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	10 TESSENDERLO KERLI	EY, INC.
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18		
19		
	20	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
ļ	28	
20	⁴⁰	